



Venue	Longstanton Village Institute	
Date	21/11/06	Time 7.00 pm – 9 pm
Attendees	Cllr Shona Johnstone (chair), Cllr Marian Cleaver, Cllr Mike Mason, Cllr David Norman, Cllr David Reeves, David Rush, Adrian Hames, Peter Paskell, Michaela Stoettner (note taker)	
Apologies	Cllr David Jenkins, Rob Mungovan, Susan Walford, Tom Armour, Janet Martin, Bob Menzies, Kulvinder Rayat,	
Project Name	Cambridgeshire Guided Busway	
Purpose of Meeting	Local Liaison Forum – B1050, Longstanton to Park Lane, Histon	

ACTION

1. Introductions and Notes of last meeting

The notes of the last meeting were discussed, specifically the drainage situation from Northstowe. Mike Mason confirmed that most of the surface water drains to Beck Brook, whereas other drainage will go to Webb's Hole.

The notes were agreed.

2. Update on Actions

Adrian Hames circulated a paper "Implications of the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway for traffic conditions in Cottenham" (see attached document).

The data for this paper was extracted from traffic modelling done for the transport assessment for the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway.

Cottenham Parish Council is doing a traffic examination for the village at the moment. David Norman will contact Adrian Hames to discuss specific details on this.

It is likely that Hanson will provide gravel from its Needingworth pits. If so, the gravel will be transported over the A1096 and A14 to the Longstanton compound.

Peter Paskell asked the Forum, if anyone notes a lorry not using this route to please note the vehicle registration number and forward it to Nuttall or Cambridgeshire County Council.

Cement suppliers have not been decided yet, but they will also need to conform with the routes agreed in the Code of Construction Practice.

3. Contractor's Update

Peter Paskell gave an update on Nuttall's Progress.

4. **Timescales for planning condition discharge**

The attached document “Timescales for Planning Condition Discharge” was circulated and discussed together with the future meeting schedule for this Forum.

In the next meeting the Forum will discuss the design of the Oakington bus stop. This will include facilities at the junction and stop (shelters, cycle parking, ticket machines, real time bus information displays) as well as how it will look like.

The Forum asked, why there isn't a car park at the Oakington stop and how the County Council is addressing possible car parking on verges?

The reasons for no car parking facilities are that it is not felt necessary, and Oakington Parish Council objected to car parking. Additionally people should be encouraged to cycle and walk as well as catching feeder bus services. If parking problems on the verges and local roads arise, the County Council will look into suitable measures, in line with normal county policy.

Discussions with Network Rail are still ongoing and therefore the submission dates for the Hills Road underpass and the Addenbrooke's link bridge might slip slightly.

5. **Date of next meeting and A.O.B.**

The Parish Councils of Oakington and Histon expressed concerns about additional hold-ups at Station Road, Oakington and Park Lane, Histon, because of traffic lights and buses possibly turning off the guideway.

The details of junctions will be discussed at a future meeting in February.

Shona Johnstone raised concerns about the current situation at the B1050 next to the site compound in Longstanton. At this stretch there is neither footpath nor public transport, with means residents have to walk on the verge to the village. Because of the cones at the entrance to the site compound, people are now forced to walk on the road with no speed limit or other safety measures.

Adrian Hames confirmed that the County Council is looking into a temporary reduction in the speed limit as well as putting additional safety measures at the site entrance.

David Rush pointed out that there might be the need to discharge a planning condition for the site entrance junction, if this is not included in the Guided Busway Order?

(Post meeting note: The CGB Order provides for ability to access

ACTION

onto any road subject to agreement with the Highways Authority.)

Mike Mason asked, if residents & businesses along the route will be included in the consultation on planning applications like fencing.

David Rush explained that residents and businesses will be included in the consultations if the planning condition directly affects them. This was not the case with Planning Condition 4 – Landscaping. Permanent fencing is included in Planning Condition 3 – Design & external appearance. This planning condition will be submitted at a later stage and consulted appropriately by SCDC.

The next meeting will be held on Tuesday, 16th January at 7.00 pm at the Oakington Methodist Church.