

**MINUTES - HISTON PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING MEETING HELD THURSDAY  
2<sup>nd</sup> JUNE 2005 7.30 p.m. at the Parish Office, New Road, Impington**

Present: Cllr Parish (Chairman), Cllrs Rose, Harris, Barrett, Munnelly

Others present: Cllr M J Mason 3 residents

Clerk: None

PAGES: 2

Cllr Mason declared he would not be voting at this meeting and would consider each application afresh at District Council as covered in Standards Board for England September 2004 publication "Lobby groups, dual-hatted members and the Code of Conduct". It was agreed Cllr Mason should take the minutes in the absence of a clerk

**1 Matters Arising 19 May 2005**

None

**2 New Applications**

Standing orders were suspended to allow all to take part. Chairman brought forward plans for Muncey Walk.

S/0990/05/O Brook Trading Limited, land off Muncey Walk – 5 bungalows. Cllr Munnelly declared a prejudicial interest (neighbour) and left the room. During discussion residents raised the following:

- Plans incorrect, not showing adjacent properties
- High density of development
- Concern about existing trees, poor surface water drainage affecting other properties in Narrow Lane
- The proposed access into adjoining land and parking

It was noted that Muncey Walk was an unadopted road. Members all AGREED to **RECOMMEND REFUSAL** on the grounds of overdevelopment; the narrow entrance and access road together with potential traffic generation; concern was expressed that should the application be granted in its present form the parking arrangements for the 4 dwellings would prove inadequate and result in obstruction of the estate road. Councillors were also very concerned about the surface water drainage issues with potential flooding problems to properties in Narrow Lane noting also that this problem is historic and may be exacerbated by development on this site. Concern was also expressed at the potential loss of mature trees adjacent to the site. Standing orders re-instated. Residents left the meeting.

S/0584/05/F Bishops of Histon Limited, Bishops Cycles and part r/o 51-55 Station Road amended application **FOR INFORMATION ONLY** – "Drawing detailing visibility splays". Permission had since been refused by SCDG. **NOTED**

S/0943/05/F K McDonald, 64 Orchard Road – First floor side and front extension. All AGREED to **RECOMMEND APPROVAL** but commenting the plan was of poor quality and did not clearly show the relationship with neighbouring properties.

S/0961/05/F J Mitchell, 2 Harding Way – Conservatory. All AGREED to **RECOMMEND APPROVAL**

S/0975/05/F A Jones, 10 Home Close – garage and shed. Previous, recent, application on site provided for Committee's information. All AGREED to **RECOMMEND APPROVAL**

S/0715/05/F and S/0714/05/LB Mr & Mrs B Fisk, 5 The Green – extensions – amended applications **FOR INFORMATION ONLY** – "site boundary added, sections "before and after" added, half hip deleted" **NOTED**

S/0415/05/F CCJ Estates Limited, 81 Park Lane – erection of 3 houses following demolition of existing dwelling. Amended application **FOR COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION** – “trees accurately plotted, siting of plot 3 and hardstanding adjusted, landscaping between driveways removed.” As application was of revised plans for an application already on previous agenda, Committee were able to take the item. Previous application papers provided for Committee. Residents of Pease Way had attended the office and left note of objection. The residents comments were read out to the meeting and the original and amended drawings checked with particular reference to the tree plot and other amendments concerning relocation on plot 3. All **AGREED NO RECOMMENDATION** with the comment that the Council support the residents comments regarding height of rear fence and also strongly support the retention of the sycamore tree on plot 1

### **3 Refusal and Acceptances by SCDC** **SCDC DECISION**

### **PARISH COUNCIL** **Recommendation**

#### Refused

S/0584/05/F Bishops of Histon Ltd, cycle shop and part r/o 51-55 Station Road – demolition of existing cycleshop and erection of 2 terraced dwellings and 1 bungalow – Contrary to **EN30 Development in Conservation Area; SE2 List of Rural Growth Settlements HG11 Backland Development**

Not proved contrary, but loss concluded **SH6 Retention of Retail Provision, TP1 Adequate Car Parking**

**Refusal**

### **4 Other Correspondence and Reports**

SCDC Officers Recommendation papers, S/0754/05/F Land Partnership, 53 Cottenham Road – erection of 7 houses and garage for existing. Officers recommendation had been Delegated Approval. Development Control Committee had now voted to refuse

SCDC Development Services Dept had telephoned and written confirming boundary requirements conditions allowed to 8 Winders Lane enabled them to remove hedge fronting Clay Street, replacing with chain link fencing and new Hornbeam hedge. Any further form of enclosure to Clay Street would need to be approved in writing. Plan was checked and discussed. Members were generally unhappy and noted that the village on the plan was Harston, not Histon.

Next Meeting Application received S/1021/05/F Mr & Mrs Green, 5 Lucketts Close – 2 storey side and front and single storey front extensions to house. Next meeting 16 June 2005

Before closing the meeting the Chairman proposed that Mike Barrett be the permanent Chairman for this committee.

This was unanimously approved

Meeting closed 8.40 p.m.